

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,464	12/14/2003	Marc Michael Groz	MMG-003U-3	3481
MADCMCDO	7590 06/20/2007		EXAM	IINER
MARC M GROZ Apt S-1602 1450 Washington Blvd Stamford, CT 06902			SZYMANSKI, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
otamora, or c			2134	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/736,464	GROZ, MARC MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this arrange of	Thomas Szymanski	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	eptember 2004.					
·—	·					
, ==-						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,464

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: Claim 2 wherein the IsoServers initiate the exchange of data,

Claim 3 wherein IsoClients initiate the exchange of data,

Claim 4 wherein MinClients initiate requests,

Claim 5 wherein IsoClients initiate requests,

Claim 6 wherein no more than one of the HeteroProgs is applied,

Claim 7 wherein a multiplicity of HeteroProgs are applied,

Claim 8 wherein collections of Final IsoData is transmitted to said IsoServers,

Claim 9 wherein collections of Final IsoData is transmitted to said IsoClients,

Claim 10 wherein InvIsoProgs are applied to one or more collections by IsoServers,

Claim 11 wherein InvisoProgs are applied to one or more collections by IsoClients,

Claims 12-18 wherein one or more of said IsoServers is an internet/web agent,

Claim 19 wherein a multiplicity of IsoProgs is used to facilitate error correction,

Claim 20 wherein a multiplicity of IsoServers is used to facilitate error correction,

Claim 21 wherein IsoProgs preserve Dyadic relationships,

Claims 22-23 wherein IsoProgs preserves vector data relations,

Claims 24-27 wherein IsoClients use IsoProgs to create IsoBids,

Claims 28-29 wherein highly sensitive data is tested by algorithm

Page 2

The species are independent or distinct because the cited limitations are all mutually exclusive and represent different modes of operation (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06).

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 and 30 are held as generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Marc Groz on 6/15/2007 @ 203-322-0711 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2134

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Szymanski whose telephone number is 571-272-8574. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571-272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,464

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TMS

6/15/2007

KAMBIZ ZAND

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5